# **IJESRT**

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

# Specially Structured n-Job 2-machine Flow Shop Scheduling Model With Break-Down Interval And Weightage Of Jobs

Deepak Gupta<sup>\*1</sup>, Sukhvir Singh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>\*1</sup> Prof. & Head, Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Haryana,

India

<sup>2</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Haryana, India

guptadeepak2003@yahoo.co.in

# Abstract

This Paper deals with a specially structured n-jobs 2- machine flow shop scheduling problem under specified rental policy in which processing times are associated with their respective probabilities including breakdown interval and weightage of job. Further jobs are attached with weights to indicate their relative importance. The objective is to find an algorithm to minimize the rental cost of the machine under Specified rental policy. The method is illustrated with the help of numerical example.

**Keywords**: Specially structured Flow shop, scheduling processing time, weights of jobs, break-down interval, rental policy.

### Introduction

Scheduling has become a major field with in operational research with several hundered. Publications appearing each year. A flow shop scheduling problem has been one of the classical problem in production scheduling since Johnson's (1954) proposed a well known Johnson's rule in the two stage flow shop. On specially structured flow shop Smith W.E (1956) considered a special case in which the Job processing times on the first or last machine are the longest and showed that the problem can be solved in polynomial time. The temporal lack of machine availability is known as break-down (due to failure of electric current, nonsupply of raw material, shift pattern or other technical interruption.) The work was developed by Ignoble a scourge (1965), Baggu (1969) J.N.D, Yoshida & Hitomi (1979) Singh T.P. (1985), Gupta Deepak (2005) etc by considering various parameters

Gupta Deepak (2012) Shashi bala (2012) studied specially structured  $n\times 2$  flow shop scheduling with weightage of Job.

This paper is an attempt to extend the study made by Gupta Deepak (2012) by introducing the concept of Break down interval. The concept of breakdown interval becomes very significant in the production process where machine while processing the jobs get sudden break-down due to failure of a component of machines for a certain interval of time or the machines are supposed to stop their working for a certain interval of time due to some external imposed policy such as stop of flow of electric current to the machines may be a government policy due to shortage of electricity production. In each case this may be well observed that working of machines is not continues and is subject interval of time. Hence the problem becomes wider and more applicable in process/ production industries have obtain an algorithm which gives minimum utilization time and hence minimum rental cost.

# **Practical Situation**

Various practical situation occur in real life when one has got assignment but does not have one's own machine or does not have enough money or does not want to take risk of investing huge amount of money to purchase machine. Under such circumstances, the machine has to be taken on rent In order to complete the assignment. In his starting career we find a medical. Practitioner does not by expensive machines say x-ray machines, the ultra sound machine. Rotating triple head single position emission computed tomography scanner, patient monitoring equipment and laboratory equipment etc. but instead takes on rent. Rental of medical equipment is an affordable and quick. Solution for hospitals, nursing homes, physicians, which are presently constrained by the availability of limited funds due to the recent global economic recession Renting enables saving working capital gives option for having the

http://www.ijesrt.com (C) International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology

equipment, and allows upgradation to new technology. The practical situation may be taken in a paper mill, sugar factory and oil refinery etc.Where various quality of paper, sugar and oil are produced with relative importence i.e weigh in jobs hence weightage of jobs is significance.

Another event which is mostly considered in the models is the break-down of machines. There may also be delays due to material changes in release and tail dates tool unavailability failure of electric current. All of these events complicate the scheduling problem in most cases. Hence the criteria of break-down interval becomes significant. Further the priority of one job over the other may be significant due to some urgency or demand of one particular type of Job over other. Hence the weightage of jobs become important.

## Notations

S : Sequence of jobs 1,2,3-----

 $S_k$  : Sequence obtained by applying Johnson's procedure K= 1,2,3-----

Mj : Machine j, j=1,2

 $W_i$  : Weight of  $i^{th}$  job

 $A'_{ij}$ : Weighted flow time  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_i$ 

M : Minimum makespan

aij : Processing time of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_i$ 

P<sub>ij</sub> : Probability associated to the processing time a<sub>ij</sub>

L : Length of break-down interval

 $A''_i$  : Expected processing time of  $i^{th}$  job after breakdown effect on machine  $m_1$ 

 $B''_i$  : Expected processing time of  $i^{th}$  job after breakdown effect on machine  $M_2$ 

 $T_{ij}(s_k)$  : Completion time of  $i^{th}$  job of sequence  $S_k$  on machine Mj

 $I_{ij}(s_k)$ : Idle time of machine Mj for job i in sequence  $s_k$ 

 $\dot{U_{j}}\left(s_{k}\right)$  : utilization time for which machine Mj required R  $\left(s_{k}\right)$  : Total rental cost for the sequence  $S_{k}$  of all machines

 $C_i$  : Rental cost of i<sup>th</sup> machine

Ct (Si) : Total completion time of jobs for sequence S<sub>i</sub>.

# Algorithm

**STEP 1:** Calculate the expected processing times

 $\begin{array}{c} A_{ij} = a_{ij} \ x \ P_{ij} \quad \forall \ i,j \\ \textbf{STEP 2: Compute } A'_{i1} \ \text{and } A'_{i2} \ \text{as follows} \\ 1, \ \text{if } \min \ (A_{ij}) = A_{i1} \ \text{for } j = 1,2 \\ \text{Then } A'_{i1} = A_{i1} + W_i \ \text{and } A'_{i2} = A_{i2} \\ 2, \ \text{if } \min \ (A_{ij}) = A_{i2} \ \text{for } j = 1.2. \end{array}$ 

Then 
$$A'_{i1} = A_{i1}$$
 and  $A'_{i2} = A_{i2} + W_i$   
STEP 3: Find  $A_{ii} = A_{ii}/W_i$ 

$$i = 1, 2, ----n \text{ and } j =$$

**STEP 4:** Check the condition Either  $A'_{i1} \ge A'_{i2}$ 

Or  $A'_{i1} \le A'_{i2}$  for each i

**STEP 5:** Obtain the job  $J_1$  say having maximum processing time on  $1^{st}$  machine.

1,2

**STEP 6:** Obtain the job  $J_n$  (say) having minimum processing time on  $2^{nd}$  machine.

**STEP 7:** If  $J_1 \neq J_n$  then put J, on the first position and  $J_n$  as the last position & go to step 11 otherwise go to step 8.

**STEP 8:** Take the difference of processing times of Job  $J_1$ , on  $M_1$  from job  $J_2$  (SAY) having next maximum processing time on  $M_1$  call this difference as  $G_1$  also n take the difference of processing time of job  $J_n$  on  $M_2$  from job n  $J_{n-1}$  (say) having next minimum processing time on  $M_2$  call the difference.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{STEP 9:} & \text{If } G_1 \leq G_2 \text{ put } J_N \text{ on last position and } J_2 \text{ on the} \\ \text{first position otherwise put } J_1 \text{ on } 1^{\text{st}} \text{ position and } J_{n-1} \text{ on} \\ \text{the last position.Arrange the remaining (n-2) jobs} \\ \text{between } 1^{\text{st}} \text{ job \& lost job in any order thereby we get the} \\ \text{sequence } S_1, S_2 \text{---} S_r. \end{array}$ 

**STEP 11:** Prepare a flow time table for the sequence obtained in step 7 and read the effect of break- down interval (a,b) on different jobs.

**STEP 12:** For a redauced problem with processing time  $A_i^{"}$  and  $B_i^{"}$  as Follow If the break-down interval (a,b) has effect on job<sub>i</sub> then

 $A'_{i\,=}\,A_{i1}\,+\!L$ 

 $B'_{i\,=}\,A_{i2}+L$ 

Where L = b-a the length of break down interval

If the break-down interval (a,b) has no effect on job i then

- $A'_{i} = A_{i1}$
- $B'_{i} = A_{i2}$

**STEP 13:** Now repeat the procedure to get the sequence  $S_i$  using specially structured two machines algorithm as in step 4.

**STEP 14:** Evaluate completion time  $(t(S_i) \text{ of } 1^{st} \text{ job of } above selected sequence <math>S_i$  on machine  $M_{1.}$ 

**STEP 15:** Calculate utilization time  $U_i$  of  $2^{nd}$  machine for each of above selected sequence  $S_i$  as

 $U_i = t_2(S_i) - (T(S_i) - \text{for } i = 1, 2, -----$ 

**STEP 16:** Find  $\min\{U_i\}$  i= 1,2,----- r let be corresponding to i=m then  $S_m$  is the optimal sequence for minimum rental cost.

Min. rental cost =  $t_i(S_m)xC_i + U_n x C_2$ 

Where  $C_1 \& C_2$  are the rental cost per unit time of  $1^{st} \& 2^{nd}$  machine respectively.

http://www.ijesrt.com (C) International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology [2013-2018]

#### Assumptions

- 1. Jobs are independent to each other let n jobs be processed through two machine  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  in order  $M_1M_2$ .
- 2. Presumption is not allowed once a job started on a machine the process on that machine cannot be stopped unless job is completed.
- 3. Either the weighted flow time of  $_{i}^{th}$  job on machine  $M_1$  is longer than the weighted flow time of  $_{i}^{th}$  job on machine  $M_2$  or the weighted flow time of  $_{i}^{th}$  job on machine  $M_2$  for all I i.e either  $A'_{i1} \ge A'_{i2}$  or  $A'_{i1} \le A'_{i2}$  for all i
- 4. Machine break down is also considered.

#### Theorem

(i) If for all i, j,  $i \neq j$ , then  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$  is a

monotonically decreasing sequence, where  $k_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii}$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i2}$$

Proof: Let  $A_{il} \leq A_{j2}$  for all i, j,  $i \neq j$ i.e., max  $A_{il} \leq \min A_{j2}$  for all i, j,  $i \neq j$ 

Let 
$$k_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i2}$$

Therefore, we have  $k_1 = A_{11}$ Also  $k_2 = A_{11} + A_{21} - A_{12} = A_{11} + (A_{21} - A_{12}) \le A_{11} (A_{21} \le A_{12})$  $\therefore k < k$ 

$$Now, k_3 = A_{11} + A_{21} + A_{31} - A_{12} - A_{22} = A_{11} + A_{21} - A_{12} + (A_{31} - A_{22}) = k_2 + (A_{31} - A_{22})$$

 $k_2 (A_{31} \le A_{22})$ Therefore,  $k_3 \le k_2 \le k_1$  or  $k_1 \ge k_2 \ge k_3$ . Continuing in this way, we can have  $k_1 \ge k_2 \ge k_3 \ge \dots \ge$ 

k<sub>n</sub>, a monotonically decreasing sequence.

(ii) If  $A_{il} \ge A_{j2}$  for all *i*, *j*,  $i \ne j$ , then  $K_l$ ,  $K_2$  ......  $K_n$  is a monotonically increasing sequence, where  $k_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{il}$ .

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i2}$$

*Proof:* Let 
$$k_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i2}$$

Let  $A_{il} \ge A_{j2}$  for all  $i, j, i \ne j$  i.e.,  $\min A_{il} \ge \max A_{j2}$  for all  $i, j, i \ne j$ Here  $k_1 = A_{11}$  $k_2 = A_{11} + A_{21} - A_{12} = A_{11} + (A_{21} - A_{12}) \ge k_1 (A_{21} \ge A_{j2})$ Therefore,  $k_2 \ge k_1$ . Also,  $k_3 = A_{11} + A_{21} + A_{31} - A_{12} - A_{22} = A_{11} + A_{21} - A_{12} + (A_{31} - A_{22})$  $= k_2 + (A_{31} - A_{22}) \ge k_2 (A_{31} \ge A_{22})$ Hence,  $k_3 \ge k_2 \ge k_1$ . Continuing in this way, we can have  $k_1 \le k_2 \le k_3 \dots \dots \le k_n$ ,

#### **Problem Formulation**

a monotonically increasing sequence.

Let some n jobs say i (i = 1,2,---n) are to be processed on two machine  $M_j$  (j = 1,2) under the specified rental policy such that no passing is allowed. Let  $a_{ij}$  be the processing time of i<sup>th</sup> job on j<sup>th</sup> machine with  $P_{ij}$  their respective probabilities such that  $\sum P_{i1} = 1 = \sum P_{i2}$ ; let  $W_i$  be the weight of i<sup>th</sup> job and break down interval (a,b) is included. Our objective is to find the sequence  $\{S_k\}$  of jobs which minimize the utilizaton time and hence rental cost of the machines.

The mathematical model of the problem in matrix form can be stated as:

JOB	Machin	ne M <sub>1</sub>	Machin	e M <sub>2</sub>	Weight
Ι	a <sub>i1</sub>	P <sub>i1</sub>	a <sub>i2</sub>	P <sub>i2</sub>	Wi
1	a <sub>11</sub>	P <sub>11</sub>	a <sub>12</sub>	P <sub>12</sub>	$\mathbf{W}_1$
2	a <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>21</sub>	a <sub>22</sub>	P <sub>22</sub>	$W_2$
3	a <sub>31</sub>	P <sub>31</sub>	A <sub>32</sub>	P <sub>32</sub>	$W_3$
-	-	-	-	-	-
Ν	a <sub>n1</sub>	P <sub>n1</sub>	a <sub>n2</sub>	P <sub>n2</sub>	W <sub>n</sub>

Table-1

Mathematically the problem is stated as:

Minimize  $R(S_k) = U_1(S_k) \times C_1 + U_2(S_k) \times C_2$ 

Subject to constraint : Rental policy (P) i.e. our objective is to minimize rental cost of machines while minimizing the utilization time.

#### **Rental Policy**

The machines will be taken on rent as when they are required and are returned as and when they no longer required i.e. the first machine will be taken on rent in the starting of the processing the jobs, 2<sup>nd</sup> machine be taken on rent at time when 1<sup>st</sup> job is completed on the 1<sup>st</sup> machine.

#### **Numerical Illustration**

Consider 4 jobs, 2 machine flow shop problem with weight of jobs processing tie are associated with their respective probabilities are given in the following table. The rental cost per unit time for machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are 6 units and 8 units respectively and break down interval is (a,b) = (5,10). Our objective is to obtain optimal schedule to minimize the total production time, total elapsed to minimize the total of machine under the rental policy.

)≤

http://www.ijesrt.com (C) International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology

[2013-2018]

# ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 1.852

JOB	Machine M <sub>1</sub>		Machine M <sub>2</sub>		Weight of job
i	a <sub>i1</sub>	P <sub>i1</sub>	a <sub>i2</sub>	P <sub>i2</sub>	Wi
1	8	0.4	9	0.3	2
2	9	0.2	7	0.2	3
3	11	0.3	30	0.1	4
4	15	0.1	3	0.4	5

Table-2

#### **Solution**

As per STEP 1: The expected processing time for machine M<sub>1</sub> and machine M<sub>2</sub> are

JOB	Machine M <sub>1</sub>	Machine M <sub>2</sub>	Weight of jobs
Ι	A <sub>i1</sub>	A <sub>i2</sub>	Wi
1	3.2	2.7	2
2	1.8	1.4	3
3	3.3	3.0	4
4	1.5	1.2	5

#### Table-3

As per STEP 2: The new reduced problem with weighted flow time for two machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is

JOB	Machine $M_1$	Machine M <sub>2</sub>
Ι	A' <sub>i1</sub>	A' <sub>i2</sub>
1	1.6	2.35
2	0.6	1.46
3	0.82	1.75
4	0.3	1.04
	Table-4	

#### As per step 3:

Here we observed that  $A'_{i1} \leq A'_{i2}$  for all i

As per step 4: Max  $A'_{i1} = 1.6$  which is for the  $1^{st}$  job i.e.  $J_1 = 1$ 

Min  $A'_{i2} = 1.04$  which is for the 4<sup>th</sup> job i.e.  $J_n = 4$ 

Also  $J_1 \neq J_n$  on placing  $J_n$  on last one the optimal sequences are

$$S_1 = 1, 3, 2, 4$$

$$S_2 = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

There are two possible optimal sequences. The in-out table for any of these two sequences say  $S_1 = 1,3,2,4$ 

JOB	Machine M <sub>1</sub>	Machine M <sub>2</sub>
Ι	In-out	In-out
1	0 -3.2	3.2-5.9
3	3.2-6.5	6.5-9.5
2	6.5-8.3	9.5-10.9
4	8.3-9.8	10.9-12.1

Table-5

As per step13: On considering the effect of break down interval (5,10) the revised processing times  $A_{i1}$  and  $A_{i2}$  of machine  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are as follows

L = b-a

= 10-5 =5

http://www.ijesrt.com

# (C) International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology [2013-2018]

JOB	Machine M <sub>1</sub>	Machine M <sub>2</sub>	Weight of job
Ι	A" <sub>i1</sub>	A" <sub>i2</sub>	Wi
1	6.2	5.7	2
2	1.8	1.4	3
3	6.3	3.0	4
4	1.5	1.2	5

Table-6

As per STEP 2: The new reduced problem with weighted flow time for two machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is

JOB	Machine M <sub>1</sub>	Machine M <sub>2</sub>
Ι	A" <sub>i1</sub>	A" <sub>i2</sub>
1	3.1	3.85
3	0.45	1.46
2	1.57	1.75
4	0.3	1.24
	Table 7	

Table-7

Here we observed that  $A''_{i1} \le A''_{i2}$  for all i

Max A"<sub>i1</sub> = 3.1 which is for the  $1^{st}$  job i.e.  $J_1 = 1$ Min A"<sub>i2</sub> = 1.24 which is for the  $4^{th}$  job i.e.  $J_n = 4$ 

Also  $J_1 \neq J_n$  on placing  $J_n$  on last one the optimal sequences are

$$S_1 = 1, 3, 2, 4$$

$$S_2 = 1,2,3,4$$

There are two possible optimal sequences. The in-out table for any of these two sequences say S<sub>1</sub> = 1,3,2,4

JOB	Machine M <sub>1</sub>	Machine M <sub>2</sub>
Ι	In-out	In-out
1	0 -6.2	6.2-12.9
3	6.2-12.5	12.9-15.9
2	12.5-14.3	15.9-17.3
4	14.3-15.8	17.3-18.5
	<b>T</b> 11 0	

Table-8

Total elapsed time=  $CT(S_1)=18.5$  units Utilization time for  $M_2=U_2(S_1)=18.5-6.2$ 

=12.3 units

Also  $\sum A_{i1} = 15.8$ Total rental cost 15.8×10+5.3×7 = 158+37.1=195 units

# **Remarks**

If we solve the above problem by Johnson's (1954) method we get the optimal sequence as

S = 1,2,3,4

The in-out flow table is

JOB	Machine M <sub>1</sub>	Machine M <sub>2</sub>
Ι	In-out	In-out
1	0-6.2	6.2-8.9
2	6.2-11.0	11.0-15.4
3	11.0-14.3	15.4-18.4

http://www.ijesrt.com

(C) International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology [2013-2018]

4	14.3-15.8	18.4-22.6
	Table-9	
	•.	

Total elapsed time = CT(S) = 22.6 units Utilisation time for  $M_2 = U_2(S)$ = 22.6-6.2 = 16.4 units

Also  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i=1} = 15.8$  unitis Therefor rental cost is R(S) =  $15.8 \times 10 + 16.4 \times 7$ = 158 + 114.8= 272.8 units

## Conclusion

The algorithm proposed in this paper for specially structured two stage flow shop scheduling problem including break-down interval and weightage of job is more efficient as compared to the algorithm proposed by Johnson's (1954) to find an optimal sequence to minimize the utilization time of the machine and hence their rental cost. The study may further be extended by considering various parameters like set up time, transportation time etc.

#### References

- Johnson S M , "Optional two ad three stage production schedule with set up times included", Naval Research Logistic, Vol.1,No.1,pp.61-64. year 1954.
- [2] Ignall E and Schrage L ," Application of the branch and bound technique to some flow shop scheduling problems", Operation Research, Vol.13, pp.400-412. year 1965.
- [3] Bagga P C , "Sequencing in a rental situation", journal of Canadian operation Research Society, Vol.7, pp. 152-153. year 1969.
- [4] Gupta J N D , "Optimal schedule for specially structured flow shop", Naval Research Logistic, Vol.22, No.2, pp. 255-269. year 1975.
- [5] Yoshida and Hitomi , "optional two stage production scheduling with set up times separated", AIIE transactions, Vol.11, No.3, pp. 261-269. year 1979.
- [6] Singh T P, "On n×2 shop problem involving job block.Transportation times and Break down machine times", PAMS, Vol.XXI, pp.1-2. year 1985.
- [7] Singh T P, K Rajindra and Gupta Deepak, " Optimal three stage production schedule the processing time and set times associated with probabilities including job block criteria", Proceeding of National Conference, pp.463-492. FACM-2005.

http://www.ijesrt.com

(C) International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology [2013-2018]

- [8] Singh, T P, Gupta Deepak, "Minimizing rental cost in two stage flow shop, the processing time associated with probabilities including job block". Reflections de ERA, Vol 1, No. 2, pp. 107-120. year 2005.
- [9] Gupta Deepak and Sharma Sameer , "minimizing rental cost under specified rental policy in two stage flow shop, the processing time associated with probabilities including break down interval and job block criteria", European Journal of business and management, Vol.2, No,19, pp. 921- 929. year 2011.
- [10] Gupta Deepak, Sharma Sameer and Shashi Bala , "specially structured two stage flow shop scheduling to minimize the rental cost", International Journal of Emerging and development, Vol.1, Issue 2, pp. 206-215. year 2012.
- [11] Gupta Deepak, Shashi Bala and Singla Pyal, "specially structured two stage flow shop scheduling to minimize the rental cost including weightage of job", International Journal Research in Management, issue 2, Vol.2 pp 195-204. (march 2012)